1988 INPUT FISSP CONFERENCE
EVALUATION FINDINGS

1. Thursday, June 9, 1988

* Federal Infromation Technology Forecast (out of 36)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
56%	42%	2%	

* Managing Change in Information Technology (out of 37)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
41%	38%	13%	8%

* Directions in Large Systems Integration (out of 37)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
35%	43%	22%	

* Information Processing at NASA (out of 36)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
28%	61%	11%	

* An Overview of the Technology Market Place (out of 33)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6%	39%	49%	6%

* Software Management - Osolescence vs. "Wellness" (out of 34)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
6%	44%	41%	9%

* FISSP (out of 34)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
37%	56%	7%	

Friday, June 10, 1988

* Navy's Future in Information Systems (out of 34)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
81%	19%		

* Networking - the Solution and the Challange (out of 29)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
21%	52%	21%	6%

* The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project (out of 25)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
36%	52%	21%	6%

2. Scale of 1(low) to 5(high)

* Quality and usefullness of material presented (out of 35) 3.7%

* Overall format of conference (out of 34) 4.02%

* Hotel accomodations (out of 17) 4.4%

* Responsiveness of INPUT staff (out of 34) 4.88%

* Conference location (out of 35) 4.65%

* Overall conference rating (out of 34) 4.02%

*** Comments From Thursday, June 9, 1988**

* Managing change in information technology, Disvasion had no relationship to handout material. (two responses)
* An overview of the technology Market place, not very useful.

* Federal information technology Forecast, needs more time/more handouts (two responses)

* Mr. McCord's presentation was very interesting.

* Mr. Rice gave an excellent overview of NASA.

* John Frank was superb. (two responses)

* Frank McDonough was great. (two responses)

* Overall good session (four responses)

* Great speaker (two responses)

*** Comments From Friday, June 10, 1988**

* Networking did not probide answers to networking problems - what will the future bring.(two responses)

* Good overview (two responses)

* Excellent speakers (four responses)

* No need for CSC pitch.

* Mr. Lanes presentation was too technical. (two responses)

* Mr. Schloughs presentation was interesting.

* Navy was excellent. (two responses)

* Outstanding Presentations. (two responses)

* Title of conference a bit misleading. Felt that it was more of a market forecasting type of conference, although very beneficial.

* Speaker should have been able to use slides.

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your Firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

* June (fourteen responses)

* May (six responses)

* November

* January

* Non - Summer

* March

* July

4. What did you like most about the conference?

* Food
* Frank McDonough (two responses)
* Market Forecast (five responses)
* Policy and direction insite given by major agencies.
* Custom presentation from GSA & Wang
* Organized (five responses)
* Good mix of speakers between Govt. and Industry (five responses)
* Opportunity to meet important professionals (five responses)
* INPUT's people (four responses)
* John Frank (three responses)

5. What did you like least about the conference?

* Notebook section
* Audience Partisapation
* Program discusion
* Booz Allen & CSC
* Presentation by Brenda M/S MAS
* Presentation seemed light on credibillity (six responses)
* To many breaks and they were to ong (four responses)

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

* Investigate DOE (two responses)

* More Gov't. speakers (eight responses)

* More care in notebook information

* Increase DOD (four responses)

* Split the gov't. and industry speakers.

* More on industry trends.

* Fewer and shorter breaks (three responses)

* Inprove data clarity (three responses)

* Eliminate super - tech type presentations.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name _____

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☐	☒	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☒	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☒
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☒
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☒
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   3 Overall format of conference

   — Hotel accommodations

   — Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   4 Conference location

   3 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

   _____

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

   IMPROVE DATA CLARITY

   ELIMINATE SUPER-TECH TYPE PRESENTATIONS

   _____

   _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name UNISYS

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
1 Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
2 Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☑	☐
3 Directions in Large Systems Integration (DISORDER OF SLIDES)	☐	☑	☐	☐
4 Information Processing at NASA	☑	☑	☐	☐
5 An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
6 Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
7 FISSP Dialogue	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2 - Discussion had no relationship to handout material

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
1 Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☑	☐	☐
2 Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
3 The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

1 would have been much better if speaker had made use of slides/viewgraphs; did not track hand-out material

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? JUNE

4. What did you like most about the conference?

1. Fed IT Forecast

2. Directions in Large SI

5. What did you like least about the conference?

1. Overview of Technology Marketplace

2. Managing Change in Info Tech.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

1. Arrange for 3 or 4 Govt Agencies to review (summary level) procurement plans for following two years.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Syscon Corp

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☒	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Managing Change in Information Tech — GSA & the speaker are out of date & raise more questions than they can provide answers or direction for — raised issues but never provided solutions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☒
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Networking — did not provide answers to network problems — What will future bring?

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

____ Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

4. What did you like most about the conference? well organized

5. What did you like least about the conference? ~~long~~ more emphasis on future needs & how to get there

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? more emphasis on mktng specific (business development) data.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Control Data Corp.

Your Name (optional) Bob Clemins

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Entertaining

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high):

2.6 Quality and usefulness of material presented

2.0 Overall format of conference

N/A Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

2.5 Overall conference rating

See below

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? July/August - even though its hot in DC, you'll have a better idea of the Govt. next FY budget

4. What did you like most about the conference? Size & ability to mix with speakers & other attendees.

5. What did you like least about the conference? Generalized format

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? The market characteristics of DoD & Civilian Agencies are so different that you may want to consider two conferences - or perhaps two tracks for common topics in the AM then separate conference into two sessions to discuss DoD & Civilian Agency topics.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Booz Allen & Hamilton

Your Name (optional) Scott McCord

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☑	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☑	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☑
FISSP Dialogue (Didn't see)	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge (Didn't see)	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

___ Hotel accommodations

4 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

IMATERIAL

4. What did you like most about the conference? CONTACTS, AGENCY - USER PRESENTATION

5. What did you like least about the conference? BREAKS TOO LONG, TOO MANY

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name CSC

Your Name (optional) WAYNE KELLY

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☑	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☑	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

4 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?
Feb would be best but new A-11 info is not as yet available

4. What did you like most about the conference? Input's insights regarding ~~regarding~~ market trends

5. What did you like least about the conference? a bit too many breaks

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?
A discussion of potential trends possibly reflected in (1) new programs and (2) new budget $ emphasis. For example: there are a lot more supercomputers being procured -- implications, etc?; mass storage w/ optical storage; data on ~~[illegible]~~ programs scheduled for award in FY87 that didn't get awarded; ~~[illegible]~~ leasing; IDIQ contracts; etc

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Digital Imaging
Private Networks
State + Local

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Booz, Allen

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☐	☒
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☒
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☒	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   2 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   3 Overall format of conference

   4 Hotel accommodations

   4 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   5 Conference location

   3 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

   _____

4. What did you like most about the conference? Opportunity to meet and talk to colleagues, competitors, etc.

5. What did you like least about the conference? too many breaks; Lack of overall purpose and focus; Presentations don't fit together well.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name McDonnell Douglas

Your Name (optional) D. BREKHUS

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐ X	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐ X	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐ X	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐ X	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

N/A Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? JUNE IS FINE!

4. What did you like most about the conference? DISCUSSION OF SPECIFIC PROCUREMENT EVENTS, MARKET ANALYSIS + FISSP Dialogue.

5. What did you like least about the conference? N/A

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

FISSP DIALOGUE – Suggestions

FOCUS { DLA + Logistics / DCA + DDN vs PRIV N/W } Both w/some relation to EDI!

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name McDonnell Douglas

Your Name (optional) J.T. Sussman

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☒	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☒	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

4 Hotel accommodations freezing

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

4. What did you like most about the conference? Information presented + personal interaction

5. What did you like least about the conference? the temperature

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Not so interested in State + local govts

More interested in DLA + DCA

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Booz, Allen & Hamilton

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☐	☒
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☒	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   _____ Quality and usefulness of material presented

   _____ Overall format of conference

   _____ Hotel accommodations

   _____ Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   _____ Conference location

   _____ Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

   _____

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

   Have less or shorter breaks - could hold the conference in 1 day

   _____

   _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name BOOZ ALLEN & HAMILTON

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☐	☒
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☒
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☒	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   3 Overall format of conference

   5 Hotel accommodations

   5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   5 Conference location

   3 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? April - May - June

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? fewer/shorter breaks; more cohesiveness to subjects presented; more substance to speeches overall (some were very good, others had little substance)

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name SAIC

Your Name (optional) LEW TAYNTON

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast (2)	X			
Managing Change in Information Technology		X		
Directions in Large Systems Integration	X			
Information Processing at NASA		X		
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace (1)			X	
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"		X		MISSED THESE
FISSP Dialogue		X		

Comments on the above sessions

(1) Not very useful (2) Needs more time / more handouts to provide more printed information —

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	X			
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge		X		
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project		X		

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

NA Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? No Opinion — After initial analysis of Latest A-11s

4. What did you like most about the conference? John Faulk's information on trends — maybe too much (too fast) information ~~and~~ — more handout material would be useful.

5. What did you like least about the conference? Several presentations were quite short (may need fill material in the future) eg short presentations by INPUT STAFF on selected topics.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? More on industry trends (INPUT staff presentations) — Maybe agency overviews as well. — Expand first presentation.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name IBM SID

Your Name (optional) D.R. WILDER

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☒	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☒	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

3 Overall format of conference

N/A Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

3 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? MAY

4. What did you like most about the conference? ~~presentations on Wash Tech & software "wellness"~~ Opportunity to talk w/ & hear others view of S/L mkty

5. What did you like least about the conference? Presentations that were of least value.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

- No major prog changes recommended — Report on DCA would be of interest.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Systemhouse Federal Systems Inc.

Your Name (optional) James E. Duggy

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☒	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☒	☐	☐	☐

missed

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☒	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   4 Overall format of conference

   4 Hotel accommodations

   5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   5 Conference location

   4.5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? June - July Timeframe Is good

4. What did you like most about the conference? The Exchanging of Information between Companies and government officials.

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name GRUMMAN DATA SYSTEMS

Your Name (optional) J. FARRELL

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☒	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☒	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Overall very informative in a generic sense. Would have liked to see more quantification in "Large SI" area (maybe a parallel position on my part!)

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

4* Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

*Would be a 5 if it conformed with FTR regs.

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? April/May/June Time frame is good

4. What did you like most about the conference? A good breadth of expertise and impressions from varying cultures

5. What did you like least about the conference? Somewhat generic in nature, although it would be virtually impossible to "be all things to all people"

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Due to time constraints improvement margin seems limited. More (brief) floor question/answer periods would be a benefit

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

* Contract Tracking knowledge (budget line vs. contract award) from award thru mod activity would be useful during strategy sessions for competitive acquisitions

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Litton Computer Services

Your Name (optional) Ralph Bain

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☒ *	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☒ **	☐

Comments on the above sessions

* While discussion was on the "challenge" aspect -- did not support the assumption that networking is a solution. ** This was a reasonable technical discussion, but didn't fit in with conference theme.

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? This time of year is just right.

4. What did you like most about the conference? See item 1. & 2. Also liked the many opportunities (breaks) to get to know other attendees, "talk shop" etc.

5. What did you like least about the conference? See item 1. Some of the presentors seemed light on credibility -- either because they didn't have the authority to implement what they advocate, or they didn't have ~~[illegible]~~ recognized backup on quoted statistics, etc.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Try to improve on comment 5. problems.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Would be interested in a report on the impact of the multi-vendor, omnibus, tasking-type contracts — pros and cons for the customers and the vendors.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name US WEST

Your Name (optional) ASH BIZZUL

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Information content was excellent. Forecasts seems to be soft - need updates to be meaningful.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☒	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☒	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Good overview.

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

3 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? March - April

4. What did you like most about the conference? Very informative and chance to meet the market players and Federal customers.

5. What did you like least about the conference?

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? More emphasis on the Federal customer needs and how that is satisfied by I T.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

- Data Base management needs.
- Follow-up Sales on projects to be reported and tracked on PARS.
- Use different color papar to code revisions and needs Pars.
- PAPS on Disketles -
- Private networks in Federal arena.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name _____

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

McDonough excellent

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☒	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions Excellent Speaker — Quasi-informative

LANs — ① Too technical - into bits & bytes

② NO NEED FOR CSC PATCH — *KNADDRADDER

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

____ Overall format of conference

____ Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

____ Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

_____

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

_____

_____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

_____

_____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

OMNIBUS TREND REPORT WOULD BE GOOD

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name GRUMMAN DATA SYSTEMS

Your Name (optional) JUDY CAVANAUGH

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☒	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☒ =CONTENT	☐
FISSP Dialogue - AS MOST ATTENDEES ARE CLIENTS -IS THIS NECESSARY?	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

MR. McCORD presented very interesting look at systems intg. - excellent session' MR Rice gave an excellent overview of NASA - pertinent to our areas of interest. Ms. Smith - interesting perspective

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Adm Quast truly presented what the Navy's solution for federal information processing. Mr. Lane's presentation - a little too "technical". Mr. Schlough's presentation was interesting - he presented more "detail" than necessary

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   4 Overall format of conference

   5 Hotel accommodations - excellent

   5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   5 Conference location

   5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?
   MAY OR JUNE (EARLY JUNE)

4. What did you like most about the conference? Chance to interface with peers within the industry; current view of government issues/environment; chance for direct interface with guest (gov't) speakers

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____
   MORE GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATION - especially DOD — would be interested in viewpoint of Congress on Information Systems technology and support these systems/technology receive

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name _____

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   4 Overall format of conference

   ~ Hotel accommodations

   5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   4 Conference location

   4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? DECEMBER

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____
   Less acronyms

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name AT&T

Your Name (optional) Bill STEWART

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☒	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☒	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

MCIT- Fan for General & Basic

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☒	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations

5+ Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

June Time Frame at latest

4. What did you like most about the conference?

informal interaction, Q+A with speakers, broad range of topics

5. What did you like least about the conference? Couple of speakers did not allow enough time for Q+A.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Would be very interested in follow up on awards to see if the projects met or exceeded expectations

Jim Kerrigan - AT&T has done some software that might be very useful to you in developing an on-line access to text material. If interested call me on 201-658-2796.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Would have a specific interest in a report on the Private Networks we see being let for bid.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name PRC

Your Name (optional) Alan Baldinger

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☑	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Very little in common with the title of the Conference* "Providing Solutions to Fed. Info. Processing" -- Good talks, but change the name.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑+	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☑	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions Navy was excellent.

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? June is OK

4. What did you like most about the conference? Well-planned but uneven speaker topics that did not really address The conference title. Good attention to client interests.

5. What did you like least about the conference? Lack of Continuity in moving from one speaker to next — (only applies to 1st Day)

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Have some of the same type speaker representatives address the same issue ie, Head of IRM NAVY, Head of IRM Army, Head of IRM Air Force, or invite Decision Makers on Recent Big Awards to Explain Hot Points as a CASE STUDY to help industry with Lessons Learned. Use Mix of General & Specific Topics.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

This was the best one yet!!

50/50 Best Mix

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name UNISYS - (SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT GROUP)

Your Name (optional) RICHARD REATING

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	X	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	X	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	X	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	X	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

As usual John Frank was superb! Jim Kenigan gave great coverage of INPUT-Goodguestions - Frank McDonough told it like it is, S/W mgmt better than last year but needs more dynamic speakers (see suggestion)

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project ★★★★	X	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Outstanding presentations

- next year different service AF/A (DLA)
- different area expertise (ADA)
- different pilot program (CALS program)

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

N/A Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? JUN-JUL -- allows time to plan for strat plan

4. What did you like most about the conference? John Franke Overview - forms the base for our strategic plan - Networking opportunity alliance potential.

5. What did you like least about the conference? Presentation by Brenda Mismas - better than last year but need S/W Management

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Great - as usual - State and local government could be tough - a lot of resources - Rather see more data on awards such as spend rate, winning team and INPUT's why team selected

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

* S/W Management - Either Yudkin from the S/W consortium or someone from SEI (Carnegie Mellon) on S/W Methodology

Research (1) DCA (agency) — (2) International Air Defense (TOPIC)

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name CONTEL

Your Name (optional) Carrie Steger

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☐	☑	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☑	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue (did not attend)	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☑	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☑	☐

Comments on the above sessions

re ~~EDGAR~~ Speaker: prefer to know more about problems, risks & implementation

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

3 Overall format of conference (too many breaks)

___ Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

4. What did you like most about the conference? INPUT people / organization
v Jeh Frank but please tell him to talk a little slower next time;

5. What did you like least about the conference? ~~get up~~

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?
- get more DOD speakers; also DOE / DOS next time
- otherwise it was good - Thx

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name DEC

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☑	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

4 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4+ Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

spring months

4. What did you like most about the conference? variety of subjects - opportunity to make important professional contacts

5. What did you like least about the conference?

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

future project suggestions
- foreign gov't marketplace forecast
- C^3I
- Air ~~Traffic~~ Defense Systems
- Templet

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name AT&T

Your Name (optional) JOAN D. HOGARTH

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☑	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☑	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

I would like to see more discussions of projects with just quick references to how the fit into the overall directions of the agencies. Too many conceptual speaking leads to an empty, meaningless seminar. One must gain some nuggets of knowledge to make it worthwhile.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future In Information Systems	☐	☑	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☑	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Good linkage of concepts and actual projects by RADM QUAST.

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

NA Hotel accommodations

4 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

3 Overall conference rating because this is not a gathering of executives but primarily middle management who implements & influences the business plans . . . it should be more specific & tangible.

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?
This is a good time to affect the next year's plans.

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____
I would strongly suggest a greater emphasis on specific plans not necessarily ignoring the concepts. Both are necessary. EDGAR is a good example of specifics.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Continue the mix of government & industry. However, industry should target the audience as other industry members not necessarily users.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name AT&T Federal Systems

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☑	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☑	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Presentations – such as the last two – that focused on actual projects or processes were more useful than generic presentations on structure or management.

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

   3 Overall format of conference

   NA Hotel accommodations

   4 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   2 Conference location

   3 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

   _____

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

   _____

   _____

   _____

   _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name BOEING COMPUTER SERVICES

Your Name (optional) J. P. Richard

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☒	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☐	☒	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☒	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Had to miss the last two sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

— Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? June is fine

4. What did you like most about the conference? Good presentation and opportunity to meet with others in industry as well as INPUT staff.

5. What did you like least about the conference? —

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Keep mix of speakers evenly split between govt. and industry.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name BOEING

Your Name (optional) P. PROSSWIMMER

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☑	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐ DID	☐ NOT	☐ ATTEND	

Comments on the above sessions

OVERALL - GOOD SESSION!

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

OVERALL - GOOD SESSION!

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?
MAY — JUNE

4. What did you like most about the conference? Good mix of speakers between Govt. & Industry

5. What did you like least about the conference? No Comments

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Consider surveying clients when you start planning the conferences on timely subjects. Speakers they would be interested in having covered.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name NETWORK SOLUTIONS

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

_____

_____

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☑	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions Title of conference a bit "misleading". Felt that it was more of a market forecasting type of conference (although very beneficial!).

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

NA Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

NON-SUMMER

4. What did you like most about the conference? ORGANIZED!

Very useful information

5. What did you like least about the conference?

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name AT&T

Your Name (optional) Mike Durr

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☒	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☒	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☒	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☒	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☒	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☒	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

4 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

4 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? JANUARY

4. What did you like most about the conference? Customer Presentations from GSA & Navy

5. What did you like least about the conference? Corporate presentation by Bob Allen of CSC -

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Increase DOD participants. For the NAP.s/PAEs in 89 - Equipment Maintenance and tracking projects for post award data would be extremely helpful.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Arthur Andersen & Co.

Your Name (optional) Corey V. Torrence

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☑	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☑	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

N/A Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

November

4. What did you like most about the conference? Objective input from influential government representatives

5. What did you like least about the conference?

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Discussion on Federal Systems Security Requirements and future direction. DDN status. I would like to see more Govt. Speakers.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Boeing

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☒	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☒	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☒	☐	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☒	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☒	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☒	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

All good speakers - able to interact efficiently with audience

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☒	☐

Comments on the above sessions

John Kane - a little too technical for this type of Conference. More government presenters would be welcome. All good presenters / speakers

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

— Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? May / June

4. What did you like most about the conference? Quality of presenters / pertinent issues covered

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Have your thought of panel discussion on second day. Have a heavy weight speaker, i.e. a Frank McDonough as the last speaker on 2nd day or a luncheon speaker on 2nd day to add more continuity and hold audience

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name SYSCON CORPORATION

Your Name (optional) HANK DI NUNZIO

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☒	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☒	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☒	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☒	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

not observed

Comments on the above sessions

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☒	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☒	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☒	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

3 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

NA Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? MAY OR JUNE

4. What did you like most about the conference? MARKET FORECAST – POLICY AND DIRECTION INSITE GIVEN BY MAJOR AGENCIES

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest?

1.) MY PREFERENCE IS TO HEAR MORE FROM THE GOVERNMENT SIDE

2.) WOULD LIKE TO SEE PAPS & INDEXES DISTRIBUTED IN ELECTRONIC FORMAT

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Processor

Your Name (optional) Dan Hewitt

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☑	☐	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Sw management presenter was fine, but topic was so vague as to be meaningless. It was interesting (albeit a little disconcerting) to learn about the efforts and existence of the SPO.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☑	☐	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☑	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Relevant and informative.

- over -

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

5 Quality and usefulness of material presented

5 Overall format of conference

5 Hotel accommodations (not including lodging)

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? No preference, except not September or December

4. What did you like most about the conference? Forecast discussions and procurement policy discussions — Frank, Quast, McDonough

5. What did you like least about the conference? Detailed program discussion on specific programs.

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Perhaps more "Futures" discussion; a panel might be fun to compare opinions on issues such as freeze impact or future of system integration. For some clients, a discussion of the procurement/teaming process on large programs might be useful as well.

Thank You! Good job!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name BECHTEL

Your Name (optional) NICK MCDUFFIE

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☑	☐	☐	☐
☆ Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☐	☑	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☑	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

☆ MORE CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO HAVE THE NOTEBOOK INFO (COPY OF SLIDES) IN ORDER A MINOR ISSUE.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☑	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

4 Quality and usefulness of material presented

4 Overall format of conference

4 Hotel accommodations

5 Responsiveness of INPUT staff

5 Conference location

4.5 Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

4. What did you like most about the conference? PRESENTATION BY FRANK MCDONOUGH - VERY VALUABLE INSIGHT

5. What did you like least about the conference? N/A

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? MENTIONED ON THE FRONT SIDE

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name Cincinnati Bell

Your Name (optional) Deborah Sullivan

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☐	☑	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☑	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☑	☐	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☑	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

John Frank could speak somewhat more slowly; Frank McDonough could be clearer regarding the points he is trying to make. In general, all the sessions were good, but some were much more relevant than others.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☐	☑	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☑	☐	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

   _____ Quality and usefulness of material presented

   _____ Overall format of conference

   _____ Hotel accommodations

   _____ Responsiveness of INPUT staff

   _____ Conference location

   _____ Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held?

   _____

4. What did you like most about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

5. What did you like least about the conference? _____

   _____

   _____

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? _____

   _____

   _____

   _____

   _____

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

1988 INPUT FISSP Conference Evaluation Form

We ask your help in evaluating the conference. Please complete this brief questionnaire and return it to Jan McDaniel at the end of the conference or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.

Thank You!

Company Name _____

Your Name (optional) _____

1. Please evaluate each session/presentation:

Thursday, June 9	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Federal Information Technology Forecast	☐	☑	☐	☐
Managing Change in Information Technology	☐	☑	☐	☐
Directions in Large Systems Integration	☐	☑	☐	☐
Information Processing at NASA	☑	☐	☐	☐
An Overview of the Technology Marketplace	☐	☐	☐	☐
Software Management—Obsolescence vs. "Wellness"	☐	☐	☑	☐
FISSP Dialogue	☐	☐	☐	☐

Comments on the above sessions

Please revisit the title of the conference and ask yourself how many actual solutions were presented on DAY 1. The ANSWER is -0-. Accommodation - Always tie in the presentation somehow to the theme of the mtg.

Friday, June 10	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Navy's Future in Information Systems	☑	☐	☐	☐
Networking—the Solution . . . and the Challenge	☐	☐	☑	☐
The Securities and Exchange Commission Edgar Project	☐	☑	☑	☐

Comments on the above sessions Don't plug your products!

I'm not here to hear about CDC

2. Please rate the following on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high).

____ Quality and usefulness of material presented

____ Overall format of conference

____ Hotel accommodations

____ Responsiveness of INPUT staff

____ Conference location

____ Overall conference rating

3. In order to provide maximum benefit to your firm, in what month do you suggest this conference be held? June is fine

4. What did you like most about the conference? Food, pacing

5. What did you like least about the conference? Notebook section, which did not follow presentation. irrelevant comments such as you doubled your ofc. space / Little audience participation / Straight lectures

6. What conference changes/improvements would you suggest? Investigate DOE / MORE GOO'T SPEAKERS You are out of line with the price of the Conference registration. Unless it goes down I will not have more than one person attend.

Thank You!

Please return this completed form to Jan McDaniel at the end of the final conference session or leave it on the table outside of the meeting room.